

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,448	06/24/2003	Dave Anderson	60027.0196US01/BS# 030094	4385
23552 7590 06/17/2008 MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			NEWAY, SAMUEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			WIT DIE	DET HERWINGS
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/603 448 ANDERSON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Samuel G. Neway 2626 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is responsive to the Amendment filed on 25 February 2008.

Claims 1 – 12 and 14 – 45 are pending and are considered below.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed on 25 February 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Roundtree fails to disclose user preferences containing information omitted in request data. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Roundtree discloses a database (Fig. 1, item 38) where personal preferences, including information (particular restaurant) omitted in request data (restaurant reservation request without specifying particular restaurant), are stored.

Applicant also argues that Roundtree teaches away from using user preferences, containing omitted information because Roundtree prompts the requestor for the omitted information. However, contrary to Applicant's assertion, Roundtree does not merely disclose prompting the requestor for the omitted information but also basing the prompt (query) on the requestor's preferences. In other words, Roundtree's system limits the query to a choice of one or more restaurants already in the user's preference database. Therefore, Roundtree clearly teaches using user preferences. The fact that the system queries the user does not teach away from using user preferences containing omitted information. Applicant is also directed to [0045] where the user preferences containing omitted information are identified without any querying.

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Objections

4. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

- 5. Claims 2 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: there is insufficient antecedent basis for the term "the query". The limitation related to request data that "is included in the query" will not be given any patentable weight.
- 6. Claim 44 is objected to because of the following informalities: it is believed the limitation "the result of the query", in line 22, should read 'the result of the request data' and will be treated as such bereinafter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1 – 12 and 14 – 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mozayeny (USPGPub 2002/0035493) in view of Roundtree (USPGPub 2001/0047264).

Claim 1:

Application/Control Number: 10/603,448
Art Unit: 2626

Mozayeny discloses a method of assisting scheduling with automation, comprising:

receiving a verbal scheduling request from a customer at a voice services node ("100 may request to schedule a appointment, or make a reservation", [0060], FIG. 3, and related text. Note that the scheduling request may be communicated via the IVR (Interactive Voice Response) system. [0063]);

formulating a request data to a schedule database, the request data being formulated based keywords of the verbal scheduling request received from the customer; comparing the request data to a current schedule of the schedule database to determine whether the request data is compatible with the current schedule of the schedule database ("query whether the requested appointment or reservation time is acceptable based on the record", [0060], FIG. 3, and related text).

However, Mozayeny does not explicitly disclose accessing a customer's preferences from a profile database as claimed in the instant claim.

In a similar method of automated reservation using interactive voice recognition, Roundtree discloses formulating a query comprising:

accessing a profile for the customer from a profile database to determine preferences for the customer, the preferences being previously obtained (FIG. 1, item 38 and related text), and

including the preferences in the request data, when information contained in the preferences in omitted in the request data, to determine whether the request is compatible with the current schedule, wherein including the preferences comprises,

Art Unit: 2626

when information is omitted in the request data, accessing the profile for the customer from the profile database, searching for the preferences containing the information omitted in the request data, and updating the request data to include the preferences, wherein the preferences contain the information omitted in the request data ("The querying can also be based upon user preferences for the requestor as stored in personal data 38", [0048] see also [0022], FIG. 1, item 38 and related text).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to formulate a query using a customer's profile database in Mozayeny's method in order to "use the preferences to make "smart choices" in processing user's requests" (Roundtree [0023], see also [0045] for a specific example).

Mozayeny further discloses when the request data is compatible with the current schedule, altering the current schedule of the schedule database based on the request data ("automatically scheduling the appointment if the request is for an available time based on the availability information, and automatically updating the appointment availability information", Abstract, FIG. 3, and related text);

and generating a notification signal of the alteration to the current schedule ("if appointment or reservation time is acceptable ... notification may be sent ", [0060], FIG. 3).

Claim 2:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 1, Mozayeny further discloses interpreting the verbal schedule request to produce request data ("Nuance software may be used for intelligent voice recognition", [0041]).

Art Unit: 2626

Claim 3:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 2, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the voiced call is a voice-over-IP call ("communication path 202 used

... may be ... a public network including the Internet and the Web", [0037], FIG. 2).

Claim 4:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 1, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the voiced call is received over a public switched telephone network ("communication path 202 used ... may be ... a telephone network", [0037], FIG. 2).

Claim 5:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 1, Mozayeny further discloses wherein receiving a scheduling request signal comprises receiving a wireless data transmission from a wireless device in use by the customer ("communications may be accomplished using ... cellular phone", [0037]) and extracting request data from the verbal scheduling request of the wireless data transmission ("Nuance software may be used for intelligent voice recognition", [0041]).

Claim 6:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 1, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the notification comprises a confirmation provided to the customer ("the first party 100 will be notified", [0060]).

Claim 7:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 6, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the confirmation is a verbal confirmation provided from a voice

Art Unit: 2626

services node ("the first party 100 will be notified (via the Web, e-mail, or telephone or IVR)". [00601].

Claim 8:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 7, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the confirmation is an email provided to the customer over the Internet in addition to the verbal confirmation ("the first party 100 will be notified (via the Web. e-mail. or telephone or IVR)". (00601).

Claim 9:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 7, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the confirmation is a wireless data message provided to a wireless device of the customer in addition to the verbal confirmation ("communications may be accomplished using ... cellular phone", [0037]).

Claim 10:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 1, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the notification comprises a confirmation provided to the schedule owner ("notification may be sent to the parties 100, 104", [0060], FIG. 3).

Claim 11:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 10, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the confirmation is a web site displaying the current schedule ("the first party 100 will be notified (via the Web, e-mail, or telephone or IVR)", [0060]).

Claim 12:

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/603,448

Art Unit: 2626

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 10, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the confirmation is a wireless data message provided to a wireless device of the schedule owner ("communications may be accomplished using ... cellular phone", [0037]).

Claim 15:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 1, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the notification signal comprises a confirmation provided to the customer by providing a verbal notice from a voice services node and by providing an electronically delivered non-verbal message ("the first party 100 will be notified (via the Web, e-mail, or telephone or IVR)", [0060]).

Claim 16:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 1, Mozayeny further discloses: receiving a scheduling update signal from a schedule owner at a node of the communications network, the scheduling update signal providing an indication of availability for the current schedule stored in the schedule database ("104 may submit new information or an update to existing information", [0048]); and formulating a command to the schedule database based on the received scheduling update signal to update the availability, wherein the update to the current schedule is considered when determining whether the request is compatible ("information ... may be downloaded to the database 108 so that the database 108 has current information", [0048]).

Claim 17:

Art Unit: 2626

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 16, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the indication of availability specifies capacity ("cancellations or delays of scheduled appointments or reservations may be automatically communicated", [0037]).

Claim 18:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 16, Mozayeny further discloses wherein the indication of availability specifies an accepted schedule request decreasing remaining capacity (""information ... may be downloaded to the database 108 so that the database 108 has current information". [0048]).

Claim 19:

Claim 19 is similar in scope and content to claim 1; therefore claim 19 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Claim 20:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 19, Mozayeny further discloses providing a set of verbal questions for a schedule request from the voice services node to the customer, wherein the set of verbal questions includes a question about a business name of interest to the customer ("the passenger has selected the airline", [0151]).

Claim 21:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 19, Mozayeny further discloses providing a set of verbal questions for a schedule request from the voice services node to the customer, wherein the set of verbal questions includes a question

Art Unit: 2626

about a date and time of day to schedule ("the passenger has selected the ... flight", [0151]).

Claim 22:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 19, Mozayeny further discloses providing a set of verbal questions for a schedule request from the voice services node to the customer, wherein the set of verbal questions includes questions about customer preferences ("seat and class preferences", [0151]).

Claims 23, 24:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 19, Roundtree further discloses: determining preferences of the customer from the request data to produce preference data; and storing the preference data of the customer in a profile database ("The user preferences can be continually updated and refined over time as the system server gathers more information concerning the user", [0023], "The querying can also be based upon user preferences for the requestor as stored in personal data 38" [0048]).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to formulate a query using a customer's profile database in Mozayeny's method in order to "use the preferences to make "smart choices" in processing user's requests" (Roundtree [0023], see also [0045] for a specific example).

Claim 25:

Art Unit: 2626

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 24, Roundtree further discloses wherein the customer places a voiced call to the voice services node, wherein storing the preference data comprises mapping an identifier of the voiced call from the customer to the location of the customer profile data containing the stored preference data, and wherein accessing the profile database comprises upon subsequent voiced calls having the electronic identifier to the voice services node, accessing the preference data for the customer based on the identifier (Table 1, page 3 and related text).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to formulate a query using a customer's profile database in Mozayeny's method and use identifiers for the customers in order to "use the preferences to make "smart choices" in processing user's requests" (Roundtree [0023], see also [0045] for a specific example).

Claim 26:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 25, Roundtree further discloses wherein the customer provides a verbal customer identification as a verbal answer to the voice services node and wherein the verbal customer identification is interpreted to produce customer identification data, and wherein mapping the identifier of the voiced call further comprises mapping the customer identification data to the location of the customer profile data containing the stored preference data ([0022], Table 1, page 3 and related text).

Art Unit: 2626

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to formulate a query using a customer's profile database in Mozayeny's method and use identifiers for the customers in order to "use the preferences to make "smart choices" in processing user's requests" (Roundtree [0023], see also [0045] for a specific example).

Claim 27:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 24, wherein a verbal answer is a business name and wherein the preferences are stored according to business name data interpreted from the verbal answer, the method further comprising upon subsequent voiced calls between the voice services node and the customer, receiving a business name as a verbal answer from the customer, interpreting the verbal answer to produce business name data, and accessing the preferences for the business name data (Table 1, page 3 and related text).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to formulate a query using a customer's profile database in Mozayeny's method and use identifiers for the customers in order to "use the preferences to make "smart choices" in processing user's requests" (Roundtree [0023], see also [0045] for a specific example).

Claim 28:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 19, Mozayeny further discloses: generating confirmation data; converting the confirmation data to a verbal

Art Unit: 2626

[0060]).

confirmation; and providing the verbal confirmation from the voice services node to the customer ("the first party 100 will be notified (via the Web, e-mail, or telephone or IVR)",

Claims 29 - 37:

Claims 29 - 37 are similar in scope and content to claims 1 - 9; therefore claims 29 - 37 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 1 - 9.

Claims 38 - 41:

Claims 38 - 41 are similar in scope and content to claims 19 - 21; therefore claims 29 - 37 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 19 - 21.

Claim 42:

Claim 42 is similar in scope and content to claim 23; therefore claims 42 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 23.

Claim 43:

Claim 43 is similar in scope and content to claim 7; therefore claims 43 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 7.

Claim 44:

Mozayeny discloses a system of assisting scheduling with automation, comprising:

receiving a verbal scheduling request from a customer at a voice services node ("100 may request to schedule a appointment, or make a reservation", [0060], FIG. 3, and related text. Note that the scheduling request may be communicated via the IVR (Interactive Voice Response) system. [00631):

Art Unit: 2626

formulating a request data to a schedule database, the request data being formulated based on keywords of the verbal scheduling request received from the customer, wherein the schedule database maintains a current schedule for multiple businesses and the request data is formulated to determine which of the multiple businesses have a current schedule compatible with the scheduling request ("server 200 may search through the MLS", [0109], FIG. 9, and related text):

However, Mozayeny does not explicitly disclose accessing a customer's preferences from a profile database as claimed in the instant claim.

In a similar method of automated reservation using interactive voice recognition, Roundtree discloses formulating a query comprising:

accessing a profile for the customer from a profile database to determine preferences for the customer, the preferences being previously obtained (FIG. 1, item 38 and related text), and

including the preferences in the request data, when information contained in the preferences in omitted in the request data, to determine whether the request is compatible with the current schedule, wherein including the preferences comprises, when information is omitted in the request data, accessing the profile for the customer from the profile database, searching for the preferences containing the information omitted in the request data, and updating the request data to include the preferences, wherein the preferences contain the information omitted in the request data ("The querying can also be based upon user preferences for the requestor as stored in personal data 38", [0048] see also [0022], FIG. 1, item 38 and related text).

Art Unit: 2626

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to formulate a query using a customer's profile database in Mozayeny's method in order to "use the preferences to make "smart choices" in processing user's requests" (Roundtree [0023], see also [0045] for a specific example).

Mozayeny further discloses when the request is compatible with the current schedule, altering the current schedule of the schedule database based on the scheduling request ("automatically scheduling the appointment if the request is for an available time based on the availability information, and automatically updating the appointment availability information", Abstract, FIG. 3, and related text);

and generating a first notification of the result of the request data to provide an indication to the customer of which businesses have a current schedule that is compatible with the schedule request ("query whether the requested appointment or reservation time is acceptable based on the record", [0060], FIG. 3, and related text);

Claim 45:

Mozayeny and Roundtree disclose the method of claim 44, Mozayeny further discloses: receiving a second verbal scheduling request from the customer at the voice services node over the voice call, wherein the second verbal scheduling request specifies a selected business from the set of business provided in the first notification that have a current schedule that is compatible with the schedule request; formulating a query to the schedule database based on the received second verbal scheduling request to alter the current schedule of the selected business according to the

Page 16

Application/Control Number: 10/603,448

Art Unit: 2626

scheduling request ("The passenger may then browse through the available airlines and flights and select from a group of those listed", [0151]);

and generating a second notification of the alteration to the current schedule ("the appointment server 200 can send a message to the airline informing them of the reservation", [0151]).

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G. Neway whose telephone number is 571-270-1058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30AM - 5:30PM FST.

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. G. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 2626 /David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626